

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F.	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/254,333		03/04/1999	RUDOLF SCHWARTE	1184-6LAM	1184-6LAM 6824	
616	7590	03/22/2004		EXAMINER		
THE MAX			LUU, THANH X			
750 "B" STREET, SUITE 3100 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2878		

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				tm_				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/254,333	SCHWARTE, RUDOLF					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Thanh X Luu	2878					
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
THE - External control	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed  O) days will be considered timely.  From the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.				
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 J	anuary 2004.						
2a)⊠		s action is non-final.						
3)□	,—							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	tion of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application	l.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6,9,17-19,21-31 and 34</u> is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>7,8,10-16,20,32,33,35 and 36</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Applicat	tion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s)	s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	i21(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-15	52.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appl crity documents have been red u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage	е				
Attachmer	nt(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum						
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:	dien					

Application/Control Number: 09/254,333

Art Unit: 2878

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to amendments and remarks filed January 8, 2004. Claims 1-36 are currently pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

It appears that Applicant has failed to disclose an embodiment in which the modulation photogate and the accumulation gate are the same photogate. Examiner reminds Applicant that no new matter may be added.

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 7, 8, 10-16, 20, 32, 33, 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 7, it is unclear in its given context how the modulation is related to the rest of the invention.

Regarding claims 8, 10-12, 20, the term "preferably" or "possibly" is indefinite since it is unclear if the limitation following it is in the claimed invention or not.

Regarding claim 13, "the pixel brightness" lacks proper antecedent basis.

Regarding claim 14, "the case of background lighting", "the difference of grey value images" and "the modulated lighting" lacks proper antecedent basis.

Furthermore, it is unclear in its given context what "used as a correction parameter on the one hand when the modulated lighting is switched on and on the other hand when the modulated lighting is switched off" means.

Regarding claim 15, it is unclear in its given context how the elements are related to the rest of the invention.

Regarding claim 16, "the intensity-modulated electromagnetic wave", "the other phases and brightness results" and "the transmitter" lacks proper antecedent basis.

Regarding claims 32 and 33, "the difference signals, sum signals and associated reference signals" lacks proper antecedent basis. Further, it is unclear what the reference signals are associated with.

Regarding claims 35 and 36, it is unclear how the limitations of claims 1 and 30 would be affected if the modulation photogate and the accumulation gate are the same photogate, as different voltages are applied to the modulation photogate and the accumulation gate.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 1-6,9,17-19,21-31 and 34 are allowed over the prior art of record.
- 6. The other claims would be allowable once the 112 matters have been resolved.

Application/Control Number: 09/254,333 Page 4

Art Unit: 2878

### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh X Luu whose telephone number is (571) 272-2441. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00) First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta can be reached on (571) 272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 09/254,333

Art Unit: 2878

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thanh X Luu Primary Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 2878